

REMARKS

Claims 1-6 are pending. By this amendment, claims 1-5 have been amended and claim 6 has been newly added. Claims 1-5 have been amended to address informalities and/or to further clarify aspects of the claimed invention without the intention of changing the scope of the claimed invention. Claim 6 incorporates allowable subject matter indicated by the Examiner.

In view of the following comments, allowance of all the claims pending in the application is respectfully requested.

As a preliminary matter, Applicant would like to thank the Examiner for the indication of allowable subject matter in claims 1-5.

OBJECTION TO THE TITLE

The Title of the Invention was objected to. In particular, the Examiner indicated that the title should be preferably between two and seven words. [Office Action, page 2].

Without conceding to the propriety of this objection, Applicant has amended the Title to read: "PASSENGER OR CARGO ELEVATOR."

Accordingly, withdrawal of the objection of the title is earnestly sought.

OBJECTION TO THE DRAWINGS

Figures 1, 2, 4, and 5 were objected to. [Office Action, page 2].

Without conceding to the propriety of this objection, Applicant submits herewith a Replacement copy of Figures 1, 2, 4 and 5.

Accordingly, withdrawal of the objection to the drawings is earnestly sought.

REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. §112, SECOND PARAGRAPH

Claims 1-5 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as allegedly being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the Applicant regards as their invention. [Office Action, page 3].

Without conceding to the propriety of this objection, Applicant has amended the claims to address informalities and/or to further clarify aspects of the claimed invention. It is believed that these amendments address the issues pointed out by the Examiner.

Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejection of claims 1-5 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, is earnestly sought.

ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER

The Examiner stated that claims 1-5 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in the Office Action. [Office Action, pages 4-5].

As discussed above, the rejection of claims 1-5 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, should be withdrawn. Accordingly, claims 1-5 are in a condition for allowance.

Claim 6 incorporates allowable subject matter indicated by the Examiner. Accordingly, claim 6 is also in a condition for allowance.

CONCLUSION

Having addressed each of the foregoing objections and rejections, it is respectfully submitted that a full and complete response has been made to the outstanding Office Action and, as such, the application is in condition for allowance. Notice to that effect is respectfully requested.

If the Examiner believes, for any reason, that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the number provided.

If an extension of time is necessary to prevent abandonment of this application, then such an extension of time is hereby petitioned for under 37 C.F.R. §1.136(a). Any fees required (including fees for net addition of claims) are hereby authorized to be charged to **Deposit Account No. 033975** (Ref. No. **049451-0350602**).

Date: **October 26, 2009**

Respectfully submitted,

By:



Eric B. Compton

Registration No. 54,806

Customer No.: 00909

Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP
P.O. Box 10500
McLean, Virginia 22102

Direct: (703) 770-7721

Main: (703) 770-7900

Fax: (703) 770-7901